



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	O. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,415	07/13/2001	Travis W. Loyd	10010635-1 4730		
7	7590 . 03/01/2005	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			HOFFMAN, BRANDON S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		09/905,415		LOYD, TRAVIS W.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brandon Hoffmar	1	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a)⊠ This a 3)□ Since	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2005</u> .  (a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim( 6) ☑ Claim( 7) ☐ Claim(	4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Pag	pers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of Draf 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) itsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	5) 🔲	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal Notice of Informal Pa Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/905,415

Art Unit: 2136

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-29 are pending in this office action.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed February 1, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. <u>Claims 1-29</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linsker et al. (USPN 5,680,455) in view of <u>Davis et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,633,932).

Regarding <u>claims 1, 12, and 22</u>, <u>Linsker et al.</u> teaches a method/system/printer for regulating the ability of a user to print on a printer, comprising:

A sending processor that includes a private key of a user, where the private key
forms a key pair with a public key, the sending processor being adapted to
encrypt an aspect of a print job using the private key and to send the print job
and encrypted aspect over a network (col. 4, lines 43-58); and

A printer in communication with the sending processor, where the printer is
adapted to receive the print job and encrypted aspect from the sending
processor, to verify the user by decoding the encrypted aspect using the public
key, and to print a document based on the print job only if the user is a verified
user and has permission to print (col. 4, line 66 through col. 5, line 50).

<u>Linsker et al.</u> does not teach **determining if the user with the private key has** permission to print.

<u>Davis et al.</u> teaches **determining if the user with the private key has permission to print** (col. 5, line 34 through col. 6, line 8).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine determining if the user with the private key has permission to print, as taught by Davis et al., with the method/system/printer of Linsker et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because authenticating the user prevents premature printing of sensitive information or wasting of company resources (see col. 2, lines 25-40 of Davis et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 2, 3, 13, and 23</u>, the combination of <u>Linsker et al.</u> in view of <u>Davis et al.</u> teaches where the printer is located at a printing site and the user is verified

Application/Control Number: 09/905,415

Art Unit: 2136

upon a demonstration that the user possesses the private key at the printing site (see col. 5, line 34 through col. 6, line 8 of Davis et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 4, 14, and 24</u>, the combination of <u>Linsker et al.</u> in view of <u>Davis</u> <u>et al.</u> teaches where the private key is stored on a portable processor and possession is demonstrated with a locally-restricted optical signal (see col. 5, lines 52-65 of Davis et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 5, 15, and 25, Linsker et al.</u> teaches where the aspect relates to content of the print job (col. 4, lines y-z).

Regarding <u>claims 6, 16, and 26, Linsker et al.</u> teaches where the aspect, after encryption, is a digital signature (col. 4, lines 45-49).

Regarding <u>claims 7, 17, and 27, Linsker et al.</u> teaches where the public key is included in a digital certificate (col. 5, lines 7-19).

Regarding <u>claims 8, 18, and 28, Linsker et al.</u> teaches where the public key is included in the print job (col. 4, lines 55-58).

Regarding <u>claims 9, 19, and 29, Linsker et al.</u> teaches where the public key is obtained by the printer from a public key database (col. 5, lines 3-7).

Regarding <u>claims 10 and 20</u>, <u>Linsker et al.</u> teaches where the public key is linked to an authorization table that permits the user to print on the printer (col. 8, lines 10-12).

Regarding <u>claims 11 and 21</u>, the combination of <u>Linsker et al.</u> in view of <u>Davis et al.</u> teaches where the print job is at least partially encrypted by the user with a public key of the printer (see col. 4, lines 39-47 of Davis et al.).

### Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant amends claims 1, 12, and 22.
- 6. Applicant argues:
  - a. The independent claims overcome the cited prior art (Linsker et al.) because of the new amendment (page 9, last paragraph through page 11, first paragraph).
  - b. The dependent claims are allowable based on their dependency on the independent claims (page 11, second paragraph).

Regarding argument (a), examiner disagrees with applicant. Applicant amended the claims to overcome the cited prior art of record; accordingly any rejection is proper in placing the application in a final status.

Regarding argument (b), examiner disagrees with applicant. Based on the arguments set forth for argument (a), the dependent claims stand as rejected.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,415

Art Unit: 2136

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ВН

Brada Alf

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100